BEST COPY
AVAILABLE

MONTANA LEGISLATIVE HISTORY

Chapter 254 19 91

Bill H 562 S _____ Original bill & history ____C

H. Committee on State Admin.			S. Committee on State Admin.		
Hearing Date(s)	Feb 12	✓C	Hearing Date(s)	Mar 02	✓C
	Feb 13	✓C			C
		C			C
		C			C
Date Out	Feb 13	✓C		Mar 02	✓C

Did this bill originate in an interim committee? ____Yes ____No

Committee _____ Report _____

3/28	FIRST READING		
3/28	REFERRED TO FINANCE & CLAIMS		
4/03	HEARING		
4/03	COMMITTEE REPORT—BILL CONCURRED		
4/13	2ND READING CONCURRED	48	0
4/15	3RD READING CONCURRED	47	1
	RETURNED TO HOUSE		
4/19	SIGNED BY SPEAKER		
4/19	SIGNED BY PRESIDENT		
4/19	TRANSMITTED TO GOVERNOR		
4/23	SIGNED BY GOVERNOR		
	CHAPTER NUMBER 584	EFFECTIVE DATE: 7/01/91	

HB 561 INTRODUCED BY STANG

REQUIRE BIRTHDATE AND EITHER SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER OR DRIVER'S LICENSE NUMBER ON VEHICLE REGISTRATION

2/01	INTRODUCED		
2/01	REFERRED TO HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION		
2/02	FIRST READING		
2/14	HEARING		
2/15	COMMITTEE REPORT—BILL PASSED AS AMENDED		
2/23	2ND READING PASSED	56	43
2/26	3RD READING PASSED	52	46
	TRANSMITTED TO SENATE		
2/27	FIRST READING		
2/27	REFERRED TO HIGHWAYS & TRANSPORTATION		
4/02	HEARING		
4/03	TABLED IN COMMITTEE		

HB 562 INTRODUCED BY COHEN, ET AL.

AUTHORIZE COPYING OF ELECTRONIC INFORMATION IN CUSTODY OF PUBLIC AGENCIES

2/01	INTRODUCED		
2/01	REFERRED TO STATE ADMINISTRATION		
2/02	FIRST READING		
2/02	FISCAL NOTE REQUESTED		
2/08	FISCAL NOTE RECEIVED		
2/09	FISCAL NOTE PRINTED		
2/12	HEARING		
2/14	COMMITTEE REPORT—BILL PASSED AS AMENDED		
2/16	2ND READING PASSED	91	3
2/18	REVISED FISCAL NOTE REQUESTED		
2/19	3RD READING PASSED	95	3
	TRANSMITTED TO SENATE		
2/20	FIRST READING		
2/20	REFERRED TO STATE ADMINISTRATION		
2/23	REVISED FISCAL NOTE PRINTED		
2/23	REVISED FISCAL NOTE RECEIVED		
3/07	HEARING		
3/07	COMMITTEE REPORT—BILL CONCURRED AS AMENDED		
3/11	2ND READING CONCURRED	48	1
3/12	3RD READING CONCURRED	44	5
	RETURNED TO HOUSE WITH AMENDMENTS		
3/16	2ND READING AMENDMENTS CONCURRED	89	0
3/18	3RD READING AMENDMENTS CONCURRED	95	2
3/22	SIGNED BY SPEAKER		
3/23	SIGNED BY PRESIDENT		
3/25	TRANSMITTED TO GOVERNOR		
3/29	SIGNED BY GOVERNOR		
	CHAPTER NUMBER 254	EFFECTIVE DATE: 10/01/91	

contributions, but under the current rules and laws all of the other things are perfectly legal, even if they are thought to be in the minds of some, perfectly awful."

CHAIR BROWN said HB 644 would go to the Campaign Reform Subcommittee, and Rep. Squires would have to deal with it.

REP. KASTEN asked how many people do you see accepting in-kind contributions and listing counseling as opposed to monetary contributions. **Ms. Colburg** said if you're asking for consultative types of services which are provided for candidates, such as MontCEL does, those are not ever reported by candidates or by specific amounts. **REP. KASTEN** said in your testimony you said the public is not aware of in-kind services, is that right? **REP. REAM** said, I did say that. **REP. KASTEN** asked how the public becomes aware of any contributions made to a candidate. **REP. REAM** said, "What I meant was that those do not appear as part of our PAC limits; the information is available through CPP; sometimes it gets published in local newspapers." **REP. KASTEN** said if they be in-kind or regular donations do they appear on CPP's report forms. **REP. REAMS** said the monetary portions do.

Closing by Sponsor:

REP. REAM said the one question that Rep. Kasten raised is, do we report those in-kind contributions. I don't know what happens when you get a roll of stamps. No one has offered him stamps. He doesn't know if it is reported or not. "I'm attacking in the bill the most blatant part of in-kind contributions by saying that those monetary contributions should be part of the PAC limit. I think that there is a real problem here." He said he would have no problem with the Committee amending the bill.

HEARING ON HB 562

Presentation and Opening Statement by Sponsor:

REP. BEN COHEN, House District 3, Whitefish, introduced HB 562, an act to authorize the copying of electronic information in the custody of public agencies and providing that the agencies can charge for the cost of electronic media. The fiscal note shows a potential impact on the General Funds of $11 to $15 thousand. "After reading the fiscal note, we discovered there were some legitimate concerns that some agencies have. Those agencies are here to support the bill, and they have some amendments which will improve the bill by taking care of some of the potential costs and at the same time take care of some of their concerns."

Proponents' Testimony:

Doug Mitchell, Secretary of State's Office (SSO), said that for some time the SSO has worked hard to increase the amount of public access to computer information. A number of different

data bases are provided to the public. The most common one is where the public has direct on-line access to all lien filings that are centrally filed with the SSO. Some costs have been reflected in the fiscal note that are associated with the farm bill. There is a federally mandated program for agricultural liens. He gave the following example: If you purchase livestock or a crop from a vendor, you would receive from the SSO a monthly listing which would tell you whether or not there was an existing lien on the crop or the livestock. You would then be able to write your check to the farm or ranch and the appropriate bank so that everyone would be covered and give you free title. There is a fee being charged for those listings now. There was some concern that those on-line computer charges would not be able to be passed through to the customer and there would be a General Fund impact. He distributed an amendment **EXHIBIT 5** that has the agreement of the sponsor that deals with this issue. This amendment is important because there are situations where an individual might come to SSO and request a copy of every corporation in a district that is in good standing, which would be very expensive. This is public information that is available to the public. The only way to obtain this information would be to run a job request through the Department of Administration Information Services Division for a charge of $37 per hour. The bill would allow a charge of $8.11. The agency would have a shortfall of about $28. The amendment would cure that. The expenses would be passed on to the user. Most occurrences are when people want to come in and obtain election results or lists of candidates and lists kept on computer in the SSO. If the individual brings his cassette to the SSO, it only takes about 30 minutes to provide this service. "It is very important to us that Legislation that allows agencies to provide the information, or mandates it, and that develops a criterion for agencies to charge for the information passes during the Legislation session. There is a lot of confusion in this area. The Legislative Auditor told the SSO that the only mechanism for charging for computer information is to charge the $.50 a page charge mandated in the statutes. "If I have 300 thousand pages of information, that I can put on three cassettes, and I have to charge you $150 thousand -- clearly that is not very reasonable."

Dean Roberts, Administrator, Motor Vehicle Division, Department of Justice, said they support the bill but have amendments, to which the sponsors have given their blessing. **EXHIBIT 6** On the motor vehicle statute, there is a cost that the insurance industry, truckers and so forth pay to have access to the motor vehicle record. The amendments deal with that. Where it is already in statute that particular charges are made for particular kinds of information, that should be continued. If not continued, there will be a problem with the other statutes.

George Ochenski, representing himself, said that he was before the Committee to develop the state electronic bulletin board -- where the public can call to obtain basic updates of what is going on with the agencies and what grants are being offered. It

is similar to the agriculture bulletin board that gives various updates on prices. The whole thrust has been to help move Montana forward into the electronic age. If the public has to pay $.50 a page for large amounts of information, the cost is prohibitive. A small disk costs $2.50, and it takes almost no time for someone in an agency to copy a report onto the disk from the computer. The goal is not to open up in any kind of access to information the public would not normally have access to. He requested the Committee take a good look at Sect. 1 as it is very specific as it relates to the confidentiality, privacy, business secrets and copyrights that are applicable.

Wes Krawczyk, Helena Microconductors Users' Group, (HMUG) said with the advance of computers in the technological age, information can now be transmitted efficiently and effectively. HB 562 will do this. Its intent on clarifying Montana's existing code regarding accessed information by the citizenry is supported by HMUG. They believe the bill would be a step forward into the technological age, one that would keep pace with the future. The bill will allow users to receive information such as reports and studies in the most economical and efficient means that is technologically possible and ecologically sound.

Robert H. Worthy, computer consultant, Helena, said he has been part of the numerous discussions concerning HB 562. He says the bill provides a clear guideline to agencies that allows the agency to give it to the public on a diskette if the agency already has it stored in this method instead using a binder full of paper. The bill should help small businesses to acquire statistical data the state now maintains. Much of the information that agencies now has is useless if it is on a stack of paper. This bill will make it easier and cheaper to distribute their information. There will be no need for a "huge inventory of printed documents" to be sitting around that must be thrown out when a revision is made.

C. T. Canterbury, Helena, President, Canterbury Consultant Services, said he was speaking as a small businessman. The bill was developed in recognition of the increasing emphasis on electronic storage and communication of information in both the private and public sectors. HB 562 would update the current statute to reflect the realities of electronic communication and at the same time reaffirm Legislative commitment to provide accessible and affordable public information.

Opponents' Testimony: None

Questions From Committee Members:

REP. SIMPKINS asked if HB 562 would apply to county records, and if county commissioners have to release material on an electronic format. "The bill refers to agencies." **Mr. Mitchell** said county agencies are a public agency.

REP. PHILLIPS said this bill would allow someone to go to the SSO and record "everything he has and advertise to the public that he has the information for sale." Would people do this? **REP. COHEN** said, "I think people are already doing this."

REP. DAVIS asked if the arrests that are on electronic computer in the sheriff's departments would be confidential. **REP. COHEN** said, "This bill will not impact records that are confidential. They still would maintain their confidentiality."

Closing by Sponsor:

REP. COHEN said, "You may think I agreed to carry the bill because I am a tree hugger, and I wanted to save a few thousand trees a year. You might not be far off on that assumption." The main reason he agreed to carry the bill is because he has a couple of computers of his own. He said they are extremely useful to him in his business in compiling data and making sense from it. People from every political party in the last campaign were getting election data and using it in their campaigns. There is a tremendous amount of useful data available. To copy it all on paper is expensive and time consuming. Often they must then copy it into their computers to manipulate the data. This should save time and money.

HEARING ON HB 695

Presentation and Opening Statement by Sponsor:

REP. ERVIN DAVIS, House District 53, Charlo, introduced HB 695 at the request of the SOS. The bill would authorize the secretary of state to create advisory councils.

Proponents' Testimony:

Doug Mitchell, SSO, said the bill arose when the SSO began research prior to appointing an election advisory council to deal with matters such as fax balloting in early 1989. The statute that allows elected officials to appoint advisory commissions does not list the secretary of state; the attorney general and the auditor were listed. The governor accommodated the secretary of state by allowing him to appoint the Council. It is important the secretary of state has the ability to seek advice and counsel from those with whom he deals on a regular basis. At the present time, there are clerks and recorders and election administrators on the election advisory council, but there may be an issue involving corporations or uniform commercial codes where the secretary of state may feel the need of the benefit of a cross section of Montana to help him to develop policy. HB 695 has no budget consequences in that any advisory appointment council must be made within the standard General Funds given to the secretary of state. The cost of the councils would be travel money to bring the members to Helena. The SSO moved its travel budget around in order to have the election advisory council. The SSO

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

STATE ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE

ROLL CALL DATE 2/12/91

NAME	PRESENT	ABSENT	EXCUSED
REP. JAN BROWN, CHAIR	✓		
REP. VICKI COCCHIARELLA, VICE-CHAIR	✓		
REP. BEVERLY BARNHART	✓		
REP. GARY BECK	✓		
REP. ERNEST BERGSAGEL	✓		
REP. FRED "FRITZ" DAILY	✓		
REP. ERVIN DAVIS	✓		
REP. JANE DEBRUYCKER	✓		✓
REP. ROGER DEBRUYCKER			✓
REP. GARY FELAND	✓		
REP. GARY FORRESTER	✓		
REP. PATRICK GALVIN	✓		
REP. HARRIET HAYNE	✓		
REP. BETTY LOU KASTEN	✓		
REP. JOHN PHILLIPS	✓		
REP. RICHARD SIMPKINS	✓		
REP. JIM SOUTHWORTH	✓		
REP. WILBUR SPRING	✓		
REP. CAROLYN SQUIRES	✓		

CS05STADM.MAN

EXHIBIT 5
DATE 2/12/91
HB 562

AMENDMENT TO HB 562

1. PAGE 1, LINE 23 & 24;
FOLLOWING "data,"
INSERT: "or expenses incurred by the agency as a result of mainframe processing charges or other out-of-pocket expenses directly associated with the request for information,

EXHIBIT 6
DATE 2/12/91
HB 562

AMENDMENTS TO HB 562

1. Page 1, line 18;
   following "form,"
   insert:
   "except as provided by law."

2. Page 1, line 21;
   strike "in 1-11-301"
   insert "by law"

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
VISITOR'S REGISTER

STATE ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE BILL NO. HB 562

DATE 2/12/91 SPONSOR(S) REP. COHEN

PLEASE PRINT PLEASE PRINT PLEASE PRINT

NAME AND ADDRESS	REPRESENTING	SUPPORT	OPPOSE
C.T. CANTERBURY 5965 N. SLOPE RD HELENA	SELF	X	
WES KRAWCZYK HELENA MICROCOMPUTER USERS GROUP		X	
DEAN Roberts	Motor Vehicle Division	SUPPORT X-DS	
GEO. OCHENSKI	SELF	X	
DOUG MITCHELL ROOM 225	SECRETARY OF STATE	X	
Robert H. WORTHY 1132 Breckenridge Helena MT	Self	X	

PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED TESTIMONY WITH SECRETARY. WITNESS STATEMENT FORMS ARE AVAILABLE IF YOU CARE TO SUBMIT WRITTEN TESTIMONY.

defeated. He asked if there would be an objection to amending the six-year term in the Senate. **REP. NELSON** said no.

CHAIR BROWN said that those Representatives that were able to serve the first four-year term would have an advantage over those serving the two-year term from the start. She asked how the decision was reached to have the odd-numbered district Representatives serve the four-year term. Rep. Nelson said the Legislative Council decided this. **CHAIR BROWN** asked if a provision should be included stating that a Senator would have to resign before running for the House. **REP. NELSON** said she didn't address that as she didn't think it was very apt to happen.

REP. ROGER DEBRUYCKER asked if reapportionment would have any effect on the effective date of the bill. **REP. NELSON** said she did not think that would have any bearing on the bill. It could change the numbers but not the intent.

Closing by Sponsor:

REP. NELSON said she hoped the Committee would pass the bill and help her get it through the Senate and convince the public that "indeed we will be better Representatives if we're here for two terms and not constantly running for reelection."

EXECUTIVE ACTION ON HB 432

Motion: REP. FRITZ DAILY MOVED HB 432 DO PASS.

Discussion:

REP. SIMPKINS said he has no personal objections to decreasing the term to five years, but he did not agree with decreasing the age from 55 to 50. If a person is involuntarily discontinued and takes a job out of the field of law enforcement, there would be no benefit.

REP. PHILLIPS said we are "merely making it consistent." This was an oversight to not change that when it was lowered in a previous session. Everyone else is at 50; there is no problem there.

Vote: HB 432 DO PASS. Motion carried unanimously.

EXECUTIVE ACTION ON HB 562

Motion: REP. DAILY MOVED HB 562 DO PASS.

Discussion: Ms. Heffelfinger distributed amendments. **EXHIBIT 6**

Motion: REP. DAILY moved the amendments.

Discussion: Ms. Heffelfinger stated the new set of amendments includes both sets that were distributed during the hearing and are essentially the same. The only difference is that Doug Mitchell's amendment regarding expenses incurred by the agency as a result of main-frame processing charges or other out-of-pocket expenses directly associated with the request for information is now on Ln. 25 right after "media." There is a grammatical change on Ln. 19. Amendment No. 5 is new. It clarifies the definition of agency as defined in 2-3-102, RCM, includes local and state agencies. It also includes Legislative, judicial and state military agencies. This provides the broadest definition of agency. Public agency is not defined anywhere else.

Vote: HB 562 amendments. Motion carried unanimously.

Motion/Vote: REP DAILY MOVED HB 562 DO PASS AS AMENDED.

Discussion:

REP. GARY BERGSAGEL asked if he would have to pay for information transferred from an agency via a modem during the interim. Ms. Heffelfinger said yes, you would have to pay if there are expenses incurred by the agency. You have one-half hour, but sometimes it can cost up to $50 to run a certain type of program to obtain that information. You would be charged the expense of running that program rather than charging $.50 a page.

REP. DAILY referred to Pg. 3, Lns. 9 - 13, where that question is answered. It says no member of the Legislature or state or county officer may be charged.

Vote: HB 562 DO PASS AS AMENDED. Motion carried 18 - 1 with Rep. Roger DeBruycker voting no. EXHIBIT 6A

EXECUTIVE ACTION ON HB 685

Motion: REP. PHILLIPS MOVED HB 685 BE TABLED. The motion carried 18 to 1 with Rep. Beverly Barnhart voting no.

HEARING ON HB 634

Presentation and Opening Statement by Sponsor:

REP. BEN COHEN, House District 3, Whitefish, introduced HB 634 at the request of Common Cause/Montana to restrict contributions between candidates and Political Action Committees (PACS). He stated it also restricts the timing of contributions by lobbyists of PACS and limits the amounts persons can contribute to PACS. Some amendments were necessary, and he distributed them to the Committee. EXHIBIT 7 The amendments he presented were in error. He explained what they wish the amendments to read striking (5) on Ln. 13, "A political candidate or a lobbyist as defined in

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

STATE ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE

ROLL CALL DATE 2/13/91

NAME	PRESENT	ABSENT	EXCUSED
REP. JAN BROWN, CHAIR	✓		
REP. VICKI COCCHIARELLA, VICE-CHAIR	✓		
REP. BEVERLY BARNHART	✓		
REP. GARY BECK	✓		
REP. ERNEST BERGSAGEL	✓		
REP. FRED "FRITZ" DAILY	✓		
REP. ERVIN DAVIS	✓		
REP. JANE DEBRUYCKER	✓		
REP. ROGER DEBRUYCKER	✓		
REP. GARY FELAND	✓		
REP. GARY FORRESTER	✓		
REP. PATRICK GALVIN	✓		
REP. HARRIET HAYNE	✓		
REP. BETTY LOU KASTEN	✓		
REP. JOHN PHILLIPS	✓		
REP. RICHARD SIMPKINS	✓		
REP. JIM SOUTHWORTH	✓		
REP. WILBUR SPRING	✓		
REP. CAROLYN SQUIRES	✓		

1:15
2-14-91
TDB

HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

February 13, 1991

Mr. Speaker: We, the committee on State Administration report that House Bill 562 (first reading copy -- white) do pass as amended .

Signed: _____
Jan Brown, Chairman

And, that such amendments read:

1. Page 1, line 14.
Strike: "Every"
Insert: "Except as provided by law, each"

2. Page 1, line 16.
Following: "format"
Insert: ","
Following: "subject"
Insert: "to"

3. Page 1, line 19.
Following: "copyright"
Strike: "is"
Insert: "are"

4. Page 1, line 21.
Following: "provided"
Strike: "in 1-11-301"
Insert: "by law"

5. Page 1, line 22.
Following: "exceed"
Insert: ": (a)"

H 15
2-14-91
JDB

6. Page 1, line 25.
Following: "media"
Strike: "."
Insert: "; or,
(b) expenses incurred by the agency as a result of mainframe processing charges or other out-of-pocket expenses directly associated with the request for information.
(3)"

7. Page 2, line 5.
Following: line 4
Insert: "(4) For the purposes of this section, the term "agency" has the meaning provided in 2-3-102 but includes legislative, judicial, and state military agencies."

Senator Blaylock moved that we DO CONCUR IN HOUSE BILL 585.

Discussion:

None

Amendments, Discussion, and Votes:

None

Recommendation and Vote:

The VOTE was UNANIMOUS in favor of House Bill 585. Motion carried. Senator Blaylock will carry House Bill 585 to the Senate floor.

HEARING ON HOUSE BILL 562

Presentation and Opening Statement by Sponsor:

Representative Ben Cohen, House District 3, Whitefish, said House Bill 562 will authorize the copying of electronic information in the custody of public agencies. It provides that the public agency may charge for the cost of electronic media used to copy the information and may charge an hourly rate for time spent by agency employees after one-half hour of copy service has been provided.

Proponents' Testimony:

Doug Mitchell, representing the Secretary of State, supports this bill because their office serves the public and if they can provide information in a way that is useful, they want to be able to do that. It allows them to recover the cost of do it. Please support this bill.

George Ochenski belongs to the Helena Microcomputer Users Group and they have talked of this kind of service being available and are glad it is before the legislature.

Wes Krawczyk, represents the Helena Microcomputer Users Group, endorses House Bill 562. With the advance of computers in the technological age, information can be transmitted efficiently and effectively. House Bill 562 will do this. It's intent is, regarding access to information by the citizenry, a step forward to give information in an economical, efficient, and ecologically sound means.

Bob Worthy, a computer consultant, endorses House Bill 562 by saying this bill is good for a small business's ability to use the information available from the state government.

Dolores Colburg told how an individual had asked for all their data to do with campaign financing. Her office did download all

their information as requested. Later, her office was broken into and the computer system that contained all that data was stolen. She didn't have a backup elsewhere. So she contacted the individual and asked if they could recopy the disks. That was a very fortunate happening.

Opponents' Testimony:

None

Questions From Committee Members:

Senator Pipinich thinks there should be a provision for keeping their codes secret. After discussion the committee proposed that the departments need to administratively take care of their private files, codes, and pertinent information. There also was discussion of backup tapes and a standardization among the state departments for backups in different places other than they primary source.

Senator Fritz asked if this restricts the circulation of information to an elite group, who are members of the Helena Micro Users Group?

George Ochenski responded that this doesn't restrict any copying or printing that is presently done. Those with computers can obtain the same materials on a disk or on paper either one. He agreed this presently serves just a small population but for those who can do that, it is sensible.

Senator Blaylock asked Dolores Colburg why didn't she have backup? She does, but they failed to do it. They do it now.

Wes Krawczyk did some research into what kind of policies certain departments had regarding computer backups. There are backups on premises, some backups are taken home by employees, and some do not have backups. Consistency among the agencies should be addressed in the future.

Closing by Sponsor:

Representative Cohen thanked the committee for a good hearing and mentioned the administrative procedures that need to be addressed for protecting their codes and backing up computer information. The Department of Administration needs to be working on this. He called attention to page 1, lines 14 through 22 address the concerns spoke of today. He said the committee could add security codes into that bill and he would agree to that amendment. He asked Senator Pipinich to carry House Bill 562 to the Senate.

EXECUTIVE ACTION ON HOUSE BILL 562

Motion:

Senator Pipinich moved to AMEND HOUSE BILL 562 with a new subsection 5, in between line 13 and 14 on page 2. It would read, "This section does not authorize the release of electronic security codes giving access to private information." David Niss prepared this amendment. (Exhibit 9)

Discussion:

Attorney Niss explained the verbiage that is needed to put the different parts of the code into agreement.

Amendments, Discussion, and Votes:

The VOTE in favor of Amending House Bill 562 was UNANIMOUS. The amendments carried.

Recommendation and Vote:

Senator Pipinich moved that we DO CONCUR IN HOUSE BILL 562 AS AMENDED. The VOTE was UNANIMOUS in favor of House Bill 562, so the motion carried. Senator Pipinich will carry HB 562 to the Senate floor.

ADJOURNMENT

Adjournment At: 12: noon

Eleanor Vaughn
ELEANOR VAUGHN, Chairman

Dolores Harris
DOLORES HARRIS, Secretary

EV/dh

ROLL CALL

STATE ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE

DATE March 7, 1991

52 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

NAME	PRESENT	ABSENT	EXCUSED
SENATOR ELEANOR VAUGHN	X		
SENATOR BOB PIPINICH	X		
SENATOR JOHN ANDERSON	X		
SENATOR CHET BLAYLOCK	X		
SENATOR JAMES BURNETT	X		
SENATOR "BILL" FARRELL	X		
SENATOR HARRY FRITZ	X		
SENATOR BOB HOCKETT	X		
SENATOR JACK "DOC" REA	X		
SENATOR BERNIE SWIFT			excused

Each day attach to minutes.

DATE March 7, 1991

COMMITTEE ON State Administration

HB 562, HB 585, HJR 22, HB 472, HB 695

VISITORS' REGISTER

NAME	REPRESENTING	BILL #	Check One: Support	Check One: Oppose
Debbie VanVliet	State Auditor's Office	HB585	✓	
Dave Brown	Sponsor - HB #562	HJR22	✓	
GEORGE OCHENSKI	SELF	HB562	✓	
West Kennedy	Self / Helena Area C. of C.	HJR22	✓	
Barbara Rant	Montana Chamber of Commerce	HB472	✓	
Bob Clark	MT Historical Society	HJR22	✓	
Kathryn Ott	Mt. Archives	HJR22	-	
[illegible]	State Law Library	HJR22	✓	
DOLORES COLBURG	COMMISSIONER OF POLITICAL PRACTICES	HB472	X	
[illegible]	Mont Assn of [illegible]	HB472	X	
DOUG MITCHELL	Sec. of State			
DOUG MITCHELL	SECRETARY OF STATE	HB695	X	
DOUG MITCHELL	SECRETARY OF STATE	HB562	X	
Gloria Hermanson	MT Cultural Adv.	HJR22	X	
Lucy Huntington	Retired Teachers	HB585	X	
David L. Senn	TRS	HB585	X	
GEORGE OCHENSKI		HB472	✓	
Mike Pichette	Montana Power	HB472	✓	
Robert H. Worthy	Self	HB562	✓	
Larry [illegible]	[illegible]	HB585	✓	
[illegible]	MEA-MFT/CHE	HB585	✓	
B. PEARSON	Common Cause / Montana	HB 472		✓

(Please leave prepared statement with Secretary)

SENATE STATE ADMIN.
EXHIBIT NO. 9
DATE 3-7-91
BILL NO. HB 472

Amendments to House Bill No. 562
Third Reading Copy

For the Committee on State Administration

Prepared by David S. Niss
March 7, 1991

1. Page 2.
Following: line 13
Insert: "(5) This section does not authorize the release of electronic security codes giving access to private information."

SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

March 7, 1991

MR. PRESIDENT:

We, your committee on State Administration having had under consideration House Bill No. 562 (third reading copy -- blue), respectfully report that House Bill No. 562 be amended and as so amended be concurred in:

1. Page 2.
Following: line 13
Insert: "(5) This section does not authorize the release of electronic security codes giving access to private information."

Signed: Eleanor Vaughn
Eleanor Vaughn, Chairman

3.7.91
Amd. Coord.

SB 3.7 2:55
Sec. of Senate